therefore be denied.

27

28

E-Filed 06/30/2010 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 10 11 ANNA VERTKIN, No. C 10-0775 RS 12 13 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION TO DISQUALIFY AND GRANTING 14 REQUEST TO CONTINUE MOTION 15 WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE, et al., 16 17 Defendants. 18 19 I. Motion for Disqualification 20 On April 1, 2010, plaintiff Anna Vertkin moved to disqualify the undersigned pursuant to 28 21 U.S.C. § 455(b)(2). On April 2, 2010, this Court denied her request and noted that Judge Seeborg at 22 no time served as an attorney in the instant controversy, nor does the law firm with which he was 23 associated more than ten years ago, Morrison & Foerster, LLP, represent any of the defendants in 24 the instant controversy. Ms. Vertkin now renews her motion for disqualification. As the previous 25 Order found, grounds for disqualification simply do not exist and Ms. Vertkin's motion must 26

II. Request to Continue Motion Hearing

No. C 10-0775 RS ORDER

Case 3:10-cv-00775-RS Document 36 Filed 06/30/10 Page 2 of 2

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

On June 29, 2010, Ms. Vertkin also lodged a request to continue the motion hearing	
scheduled for July 9, 2010 to an alternative date in September of this year. Her request is gran	ited.
Accordingly, a hearing on defendants' motion to dismiss shall be held on September 09, 2010) at
1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 3 on the 17th Floor of the United States Courthouse, 450 Golden Gate	e
Avenue, San Francisco, California. Ms. Vertkin also requests the opportunity to respond to	
defendant Wells Fargo's reply brief filed on June 29, 2010. She may do so but should limit an	ıy sur
reply brief to fifteen (15) pages. She shall file such brief on or before August 26, 2010.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: 06/30/2010 RICHARD SEEBORG UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	-

No. C 10-0775 RS Order